

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/006,400	11/30/2001	Richard S. Ginn	267/121	4276		
34313 75	90 03/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP			DAWSON,	DAWSON, GLENN K		
4 PARK PLAZ	ION DEPARTMENT A	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SUITE 1600			3731			
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s		;)				
		10/006,40	0	GINN, RICHARD S.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Glenn K. D		3731					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. period will apply and will statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be tire expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	06 October 2005	<u>5</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	on of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-16,21-32,38-42,46-51 and 54-79</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-26 and 63-78</u> is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>11,14,15,27-31,40-42,47-51,56-62 and 79</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>12,13,16,32,38,39,46,54 and 55</u> is/are objected to.								
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)[☐ objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			==	Chama				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ed in this National	Stage				
* (application from the International Bi See the attached detailed Office action for	· ·	* **	ad					
	see the attached detailed Office action for t	a list of the certif	isa copies not receive						
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F		O-152)				
· —	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449 of P10/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-06-2005</u> .	DI (00)	6) Other:	and the second of the	- · /				

Application/Control Number: 10/006,400

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 2

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11,14,15,27,28,40,42,47,48,51,56-62 and 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Green, et al.-EP 0 774 237.

Green discloses a device and method for closing a hole in a vessel wall, including an elongate member (100 or 20, depending on the claim), a clip 22, a locator member 60 having a deflectable member 62b, 64b and a control element 68 moved by an actuator 75. Axial movement of the control element causes the deflectable element to buckle outwards to allow it to anchor against the inner vessel wall. Following anchoring, tube 22 is pushed distally to cause the clip to engage and pierce tissue and close the passage. This procedure is performed following a catheterization procedure where a catheter would be placed through an introducer into the vessel and an angiographic or angioplasty procedure is performed in the vessel and then the above device is used to close the passage.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,400 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 4

Claims 29-31,41,49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green, et al.-'237.

Green discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of the passage of the instruments through the lumen of the elongate member to perform a therapeutic procedure and the timing of the introduction of the locator member.

Since Green discloses that the therapeutic instruments are placed through an introducer, it would have been obvious to have placed them through introducer 100 in order to eliminate the unnecessary use of a second introducer. To have placed the locator into the lumen of the tubular member before the introducer is advanced through the passage would have been an obvious step to perform as the timing of doing so is irrelevant, and applicant has not disclosed why such a timing is critical and would solve a stated problem or is for a particular purpose, and placing it into the lumen before, during or after the tubular members' introduction into the passage would not have provided an advantage over either of the other times.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21-26 and 63-78 are allowed.

Claims 12,13,16,32,38,39,46,54 and 55 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 010-06-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the phrase "selectively expandable" defines the claimed locator member over that of Green. However, the examiner disagrees. Whether the locator member expands and to what degree is determined by the user. By varying the amount of extension of the locator member, the expansion of the locator member can be determined and therefore "selected".

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 571-272-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,400

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 3731

Gkd

27 February 2006